Name: _____

Score: _____

Teacher Name: _____

Argument W	riting	School	-wide	Rubric
-------------------	--------	--------	-------	--------

Meets Standard 3 Selects and summarizes key ideas to establish context appropriate to audience by using tools such as precise language, descriptive language, authoritative voice and/or persona. W.9-12.1&2 ve *Establishes a precise interpretive claim in the form of a valid thesis when responding to a given prompt. W.9-12.1&2 k Fulfills all discipline-specific and task requirements.	Nearly Meets Standard 2 Attempts to select and summarize key ideas to establish context but selections may be invalid, insufficient, or unclear. Attempts an interpretive claim in the form of a thesis but thesis may not address the prompt or may be invalid or unclear. Attempts to fulfill all discipline-specific and task	Below Standard 1 Does not select and summarize key ideas to set context. Does not state a thesis.
 appropriate to audience by using tools such as precise language, descriptive language, authoritative voice and/or persona. <i>W.9-12.1&2</i> *Establishes a precise interpretive claim in the form of a valid thesis when responding to a given prompt. <i>W.9-12.1&2</i> 	establish context but selections may be invalid, insufficient, or unclear. Attempts an interpretive claim in the form of a thesis but thesis may not address the prompt or may be invalid or unclear.	context.
to a of a valid thesis when responding to a given prompt. <i>W.9-12.1&2</i>	thesis but thesis may not address the prompt or may be invalid or unclear.	Does not state a thesis.
k Fulfills all discipline-specific and task requirements.	Attempts to fulfill all discipline-specific and task	1
	requirements, but one element may be inaccurate or incomplete.	Does not fulfill several discipline-specific and task requirements.
claims, reasons, and evidence. <i>W.9-10.1b, c</i>	Attempts to develop precise claims, but some analysis lacks logic, precision, clarity, and/or accuracy; or analysis is insufficient.	Most analysis lacks logic, clarity and accuracy, or no analysis is present.
significant, relevant, and sufficient evidence (e.g. facts, extended definitions, concrete details, strong and thorough textual evidence, examples, prior	Attempts to support interpretation and conclusions, but some evidence lacks significance, relevance, or sufficiency.	Interpretation and conclusions are not supported with evidence.
knowledge, etc.) appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic, accurately citing evidence when appropriate. <i>W.9-10.2b, 8, 9; RI.9-10.1; RL.9-10.1-3</i> &10	Does not cite some evidence, or cites evidence inaccurately and/or inappropriately.	Does not cite evidence.
*Uses effective strategies to argue (e.g., appeals to logic and/or ethics, anecdotes, emotionally laden language, compare/contrast, etc.) as appropriate to task and audience. <i>W.9-12.1b, c</i>	Attempts to use strategies to argue but strategies are ineffective or inappropriate to the task or audience.	Little or no attempt at using strategies to argue
	Attempts to analyze counterclaims, but analysis does not sufficiently address evidence, strengths, weaknesses, and/or audience concerns.	Does not address or analyze counterclaims.
Accurately uses general academic and/or domain-specific language that clarifies and supports purpose. <i>L.9-12.6</i>	Attempts to use general academic and/or domain-specific words/phrases, but some usage is inaccurate or inappropriate.	Does not use general academic and/or domain-specific words/phrases.
<i>hat</i> Provides organization appropriate to task, purpose, and audience with a clear and coherent opening, body, transitions, and a conclusion that follows from and supports the argument presented. <i>W9-12.1-4</i>	Provides some elements of organization and/or organization causes confusion.	Little evidence of organization.
	Attempts to establish a formal style and objective tone, but one element is insufficient.	Does not establish either a formal style or an objective tone.
Demonstrates command of the conventions of standard grammar, usage, capitalization, punctuation, spelling, and varied sentence construction. L.9-12.1, 2	Demonstrates some control of usage, grammar, punctuation, sentence construction, and spelling.	Demonstrates little control of usage, grammar, punctuation, sentence construction, and spelling.
Occasional errors do not interfere with meaning (for on-demand writing).	Errors interfere with meaning.	Errors inhibit meaning.
Induced and the set of the set o	le dd*Develops precise claims in a logical sequence by clarifying and analyzing the relationships between claims, reasons, and evidence. W.9-10.1b, c*Supports interpretation and conclusions by selecting significant, relevant, and sufficient evidence (e.g. facts, extended definitions, concrete details, strong and thorough textual evidence, examples, prior knowledge, etc.) appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic, accurately citing evidence when appropriate. W.9-10.2b, 8, 9; RL9-10.1; RL.9-10.1-3 &10*Uses effective strategies to argue (e.g., appeals to logic and/or ethics, anecdotes, emotionally laden language, compare/contrast, etc.) as appropriate to task and audience. W.9-12.1b, c*Develops and analyzes counterclaims fairly, supplying evidence while highlighting strengths and weaknesses of counterclaims in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns. W.9-10.1bs.Accurately uses general academic and/or domain-specific language that clarifies and supports purpose. L.9-12.6e thatProvides organization appropriate to task, purpose, and audience with a clear and coherent opening, body, transitions, and a conclusion that follows from and supports the argument presented. W.9-12.1-4mal neEstablishes a formal style and objective tone while attending to the discipline-specific writing norms and conventions. W.9-12.1d; 2ec.Demonstrates command of the conventions of standard grammar, usage, capitalization, punctuation, spelling, and varied sentence construction. L.9-12.1, 2Occasional errors do not interfere with meaning (for on-demand writing).	Image: An analyzing the relationships between clarifying and analyzing the relationships between clarims, reasons, and evidence. W.9-10.1b, crequirements, but one element may be inaccurate or incomplete.**Develops precise claims, in a logical sequence by clarifying and analyzing the relationships between claims, reasons, and evidence. W.9-10.1b, cAttempts to develop precise claims, but some analysis is insufficient.*Supports interpretation and conclusions by selecting significant, relevant, and sufficient evidence (e.g. facts, extended definitions, concrete details, strong and thorough textual evidence, examples, priori knowledge of the topic, accurately citing evidence when appropriate. W9-10.2b, 8.9; RL9-10.1; RL9-10.1-3 & RL9-10.1; RL9-10.1-3 & RL9-10.1; RL9-10.1-3Attempts to support interpretation and conclusions, but some evidence lacks significance, relevance, or sufficiency.* bins* Uses effective strategies to argue (e.g., appeals to language, compare/contrast, etc.) as appropriate to task and audience W9-12.1b, cAttempts to use strategies to argue but strategies are ineffective or inappropriate to the task or audience.* bevelops and analyzes counterclaims fairly, supplying evidence while highlighting strengths and weaknesses of counterclaims in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns. W.9-10.1bAttempts to use general academic and/or domain-specific language that clarifies and supports and audience with a clear and coherent opening, body, transitions, and a conclusion that follows from and supports the argument presented. W.9-12.1-4Attempts to establish a formal style and objective tone, but one element is insufficient.st intEstablishes a formal style and objective tome while attandard

NOTES: a) Students cannot achieve an overall meet standard score (3 or 4) on the task if they have received a below standard score (1) on any individual indicator. b) An asterisk (*) indicates essential indicators when determining preponderance of the evidence.
